

CR Number: 4948
External Reference: WA
Category: SAFER XML
Component: SAFER/CVISN Architecture
Synopsis: T0023 E-Screening Enrollment Transaction is Ineffective
Status: Open
Disposition: [2006-03-16] Open for 30-day review.
Description: [2007-02-23] Bill Goforth, WA

The T0023 transaction does not work in a context where multiple states share e-screening administration responsibility for the same carrier. An example would be a carrier with a mid-west fleet and east coast fleet. The state that sends the T0023 transaction for the east coast fleet will override the T0023 transaction sent by a mid-west state for the mid-west fleet. It may also be necessary to control authorization on a vehicle by vehicle basis. This is not possible with the current design of the T0023 transaction.

In addition, authorization for screening within a specific state is the decision of that state not the jurisdiction that sends the T0023 transaction for a group of states. So it would seem that each state is the authoritative source for its screening authorization. But there is no way for individual states to submit a T0023 transaction for their state only for a carrier without deleting the authorizations for all other states for the carrier. (The SAFER ICD says “The authorizations in the transaction shall completely replace any existing authorizations previously established for that carrier”).

When Washington uploads T0023 transactions, we authorize all carriers to operate in all states. We have never had a carrier request authorization for specific states and not others. They have already found ways to disable their transponders when they travel through states where they don't want to use their transponders.

For these reasons, we feel that the T0023 transaction is either unnecessary or needs to be redesigned. Washington would prefer to have this transaction done away with.

[2007-02-26] Chris Campbell, Iteris, supporting ID, SC, UT, WY, SD, OK, AK
We also agree with this CR. It is a useless transaction set for all of our clients.

[2007-03-16] Presented and discussed at the 3/15/07 ACCB meeting.
WA and SD support this CR. Chris Campbell asked what the impact would be on the T0029 delivery (would the T0029 sub-folder structure be eliminated?). This CR will be posted to the CVISN System Architect's list serv for comment from other states and will be addressed at the CVISN Deployment Workshop.

Fix:
Comment:
Attachment names:
Responsibility:
Modified Time: 3/16/2007 9:32:34 AM
Modified By: Magnusson Nancy C
Entered On: 2/26/2007 5:37:55 PM

Entered By: Salazar Sandra B

Severity: Medium

Priority: No

Type: Defect

Closed On: