

**CR Number:** 4651

**External Reference:** CR3013, SAFER CR 705

**Category:** SAFER XML, SAFER ICD

**Component:** SAFER/CVIEW

**Synopsis:** Implement VIN, IRP Account and IFTA Account validation for SAFER XML Service input transaction.

**Status:** Open

**Disposition:** [2007-07-26] Open. Pending Volpe review of reqts. submitted by States.

**Description:** [2007-07-26] Discussed at ACCB meeting. Volpe will present update on consolidated requirements 2007-08-23 ACCB meeting.

[2007-05-17] Discussed at 2007-05-17 CVISN ACCB meeting. States' requirements were presented to Volpe in February, 2007. The requirements need to be harmonized/finalized by Volpe and reported to the CVISN ACCB. Scheduled for SAFER 5.3.

[2007-02-06] File with states' comments related to CVISN Architecture Change Request CR 4651 (SAFER CR 705) titled, "Implement VIN, IRP Account and IFTA Account number validation for SAFER XML Service input transactions" presented to Volpe.

[2006-12-18] Discussed at the 12/14/06 ACCB meeting.  
Volpe needs more input from states on requirements.

[2006-11-21] Discussed at the 11/16/06 ACCB meeting.  
This CR was originally part of CR 3013. Listserv comments to CR 3013 will be reviewed and this CR will be discussed at the December ACCB meeting.

[2006-05-04] re discussion of CR 3013 at 4/20/06 ACCB meeting.  
CR 3013 was closed, and the Phase 2 (VIN/IRP/IFTA) validation checks will be documented in Architecture CR 4651 (SAFER CR 705).

[2006-04-19]  
CR 3013 was closed at the 3/23/06 ACCB meeting. Phase 2 of that CR is moved to this CR. The following are segments from the old CR that pertain.  
"VIN validation was the topic of discussion for this CR. Jingfei Wu (Volpe) pointed out that only the data formatting rules will be enforced, and the IFTA/IRP/VIN validation will be in the following release of SAFER after receiving comments from stakeholders. Some states expressed an interest in getting a warning for invalid VINs instead of rejections. Validation is done at the jurisdiction site because of home-made VINs that the state considers valid. These VINs would fail the VIN validation routine at SAFER. It was suggested that states send their VIN patterns to Volpe so SAFER can check against those as well. Phase 1 of the implementation will be to enforce the edit checks for the formatting rules listed in the specification document. After a state is recertified, the rules will be enforced for that state. Phase 2 of this CR will enforce IFTA/IRP/VIN validation."

"The VIN/IRP account / IFTA account validation checks will be implemented in Phase 2. Iteris asked if the states will have to recertify again when Phase 2 is released. Volpe said yes. States asked if Phase 2 validation rules would cause SAFER to reject the records. Volpe said that would be up to the stakeholders. If the stakeholders only want a warning and not a rejection, then recertification wouldn't be necessary."

**Fix:**

**Comment:**

**Attachment names:** 2005-12-19 CR3013-SAFER139\_data standardization\_Comments.xls  
2006-01-25\_CR 139 Specification.doc  
2007-05-11\_SAFER Data Edit Requirements by State (r5).doc

**Responsibility:**

**Modified Time:** 9/7/2007 12:10:43 PM

**Modified By:** Magnusson Nancy C

**Entered On:** 4/19/2006 10:32:38 AM

**Entered By:** Magnusson Nancy C

**Severity:** Medium

**Priority:** No

**Type:** Enhancement

**Closed On:**